

Serial No. 09/901,162
Examiner: G. Koch/1734
Attorney Docket No.: 11694/04106
November 8, 2004
Page 4

REMARKS


In the Office action, claims 41-42, 59 and 62 were rejected as anticipated by Myers; and claims 41-43 and 56-62 were rejected as anticipated by Haller.

The independent claims have been amended to recite that the wear component is assembled in predetermined rotational orientations (claim 41), claims 59 and 62 reciting means for fixing or orienting the wear component or charging component in a predetermined radial orientation. Neither Myers nor Haller suggest any arrangement or means for having predetermined radial orientations. Haller's end to end reversibility offers no suggestion of fixing or orienting the wear item in predetermined radial orientations. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 8, 2004



Leonard L. Lewis Reg. No. 31,176
(216) 622-8683
Customer No.: 27483